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PRELIMINARY REMARK.

The following Work is divided into two grand departments, embracing the time before and after the foundation of the School of Bologna, about A.C. 1100. The former branch is discussed in the first and second volumes, which relate consequently to the same period. Moreover, the first volume contains—the general evidence of the preservation of the Roman Law, before A.C. 1100; while the second is devoted to the examination of those particular traces of its permanency, which exist among the separate Nations and States, before the same epoch.
CHAPTER I.

The Law Sources in the Fifth Century

[The states of the middle ages connected with the condition of the Roman Empire, before its dissolution—The Roman Law, at first, consisted of customs and forms—and, at the end of the Republic, of the Twelve Tables and the Edict—The old Jus Civile gradually blended with the Jus Gentium—The constitutions of the Emperors an important Law-Source—The condition of the Roman Law at the beginning of the fifth century—Its practical utility much promoted by the Constitution of Valentinian III.; and by the Gregorian, Hermogenian, and Theodosian Codes—Farther changes in the Roman Law—Character of these changes—Of the Edict of Theodoric—Of the Papian—Of the Breviariium of Alaric II.—Of the Laws of Justinian—Justinian's plan in the Pandects, Code, Institutes and Novellae.]

CHAPTER II.

Structure and Character of the Roman Judicial System in the Fifth Century

[The Roman Empire consisted of Italy and the Provinces—The Citizens, Council, and Magistracy of the Italian Cities—The Citi-
zens possessed the Sovereign Power; but this was afterwards acquired by the Council—The Council was styled, Ordo Decurionum, Ordo, Curia: and elected the Magistrates—The Councillors, Decurions, could alone be chosen Magistrates: but, their Office became highly burdensome; and ceased, ultimately, to be a place of honourable ambition—The Internal Affairs of the Cities were managed by the Magistrates—The Supreme Magistrate was styled, Duumvir, Quatuorvir, Magistratus, Consul, Dictator, Prætor—His jurisdiction, at first ample, was restricted under the Emperors—These restrictions, and their origin, are proved, from the Roman History; and from a comparison of the Lex Galliæ Cisalpinae; with the Pandects and Paulus: but, the Duumvirs always continued to form a Court of First Instance—To some of the Italian Cities, Praefects were sent annually from Rome—The Censor of the Chartered Cities was styled, Censor, Curator, Quinquennalis—The Agens Viciss was the deputy of these City Magistrates—The signification of the terms, Scriba, Excerptor, Actuarius, Notarius, Tribunus, Tabellio, Amanuensis, Cancellarius—The Provincial Cities had Councils, like those of Italy: but, in them, there were no Magistrates, similar to the Duumvirs, unless the Cities were free of Italy—This proved regarding the Cities of Gaul.
—The Principals were not proper Magistrates; nor were the Honorati, Curiales, Possessores—Perhaps a few of the principal Cities of Gaul had Magistrates—The Roman Governor was the Judex Ordinarius, in the common provincial Cities—Provincial City-Magistrates being rare, are seldom mentioned—Defensors were elected in the Provincial Cities, by the whole Citizens—The Defensors became proper Magistrates in the Provincial Cities—The Councils of the Italian and Provincial Cities contained 100 Members; Patroni, Pedani, Prætextati, Decemprimi, Principales, &c.—The powers of the Imperial Governor were different under Constantine and Justinian—The Civil Governor was called, Rector, Judex, Judex Ordinarius: and, the Military, Comes, Dux—The Contentiosa Jurisdiction of the Magistrates and Defensors, in the Italian and Provincial Cities, included both the Extra Ordinem form of Process, and, the Judex, Ordo Judiciorum: also, the right of having Assessors—The Council gradually became a Court of Justice—The Voluntaria Jurisdiction of the Magistrates did not include the Legis Actiones; but only the Gesta, or Acta, e.g. in Donations, Testaments, &c.—One Magistrate or Defensor, three Principals or Decurions, and the Exceptor, were required to be present at these Gesta—Similar requisites of these Gesta, among the East Goths;
and in Italy and the Frank-Empire, after the dissolution of the Roman power.]

CHAPTER III.

The Law-Sources of the New German Kingdoms, after the Conquest

[The Germans and Romans, after the Conquest, lived under their separate Laws—The East Goths alone did not admit the system of Personal Laws: and that system did not originally exist among the Germans; but arose from the condition of the Victors and Vanquished, after the Conquest—Among the Franks, the Personal Laws became numerous; although, at first, they included only the Salic and the Roman—The Personal Laws, in Italy, among the Lombards, underwent the same change—Multiplicity of Personal Laws—The Roman was a Personal Law, among the West Goths, Burgundians, Franks, and Lombards—'Lex Salica' signifies the Law of the Salic Franks generally: and 'Lex Romana,' the Roman Law generally—'Lex Romana' is applied also to the Laws of the Frank and Italian Emperors—'Lex Mundana' may signify any of the Personal or National Laws—(A) The Personal Law-doctrines applied to individuals—The Law of the Father, at their birth, was the Law...
of the Children: but the Wife might follow the Law of her Husband; and the Clerus lived, under the Roman Law, except among the Lombards—The Personal Law of Freedmen was various—The Birth-Law of the Father was occasionally dispensed with, by Royal grant—The Personal Laws of the Parties Professiones were specified in their Deeds—Natio and Lex have, sometimes, a different signification—Professiones occur only in Italy—The Personal Law was never arbitrarily chosen—This proved—The objections answered—particularly that derived from the ordinance of Lothaire I., A. C. 824—That ordinance was limited to Rome and its territory—(B) The Personal Law-doctrines applied to Cases—The Law of the Case was regulated by that of the Defendant—The Personal or National Laws, Leges, were limited by certain general Capitularies; but these were not of universal application; nor were they, everywhere, the same—Resemblance between the early and later condition of the Personal Laws in France—Mode in which the Territorial Laws were afterwards introduced—The influence of the Roman Law was general over France: but greatest in the South—The Roman Law always continued in the Cities of Lombardy.]
CHAPTER IV.

The Judicial System of the Germans, be-
fore and after the Conquest . 169

The Germans had National Institutions be-
fore the Conquest: although the character
of these is not now easily explained—Their
general features are preserved in the Judi-
cial System. The Freemen, Judicators, and
Counts, with their Deputies. The Freemen
were the basis of the Germanic Courts and
Constitutions—Among the Lombards, the
Freemen were called Arimen—They were
distinguished from the Bondmen and Vass-
sals; and from the Comes, or Official per-
son, to whom they owed obedience—The
judicial functions of the Freemen, under the
name of Germans—The Freemen also occur
as Citizens—The Freemen of a district were
called Arimannia, and free property bore the
same name—Certain Taxes on the Freemen
were also styled Arimannia—The term Ari-
man is derived from Ehre, dignity—The
Arimen are misunderstood by Ducange, Mu-
ratori, Sismondi, by Liruti, and Klüber—The
Frank Rachinburgers were the same with
the Lombardic Arimen or Freemen—The
Rachinburgers were not a peculiar order
of elected Judicators—The Freemen were
called Rachinburgers, even when out of
Court—The Boni homines and the Rachin-
burgers are the same—The term Rachinburg is derived from Rek, Great—The Frank Nobility became Anstrustions after the Conquest; but the old Nobility of the Lombards survived that event—The judicial functions of the Freemen were the same among the Anglo-Saxons, &c.—Heerbann signifies the summoning of the Freemen—The national names of Germans, Goths, Franks, &c. are derived from Ariman, &c. The Judicators, Schüffen. In the Capitularies, elected judicators are mentioned; but, in the old Germanic Constitution, all the Freemen were Judicators—The Frank Scabini do not occur before the time of Charlemagne—The Freemen might sit in judgment along with the Scabini; and continue their old judicial functions—Certain offences deprived the Freemen of their judicial rights—The Scabini only lightened the judicial duties of the Freemen—The Freemen-Tribunals existed likewise among the Saxons, &c. and Lombards—The Scabini were also introduced into Italy—The Presidents had no voice in the preparation of the judgment—The Judicators and witnesses were of the same nations with the litigants—The Jurisdiction Voluntaria also belonged to the Freemen-tribunals—The Sachibarons ceased, after the Counts were appointed by the King—Only a single elected Judge, Judex, was known among the Bavarians. The Count, Graf and his Deputies. The Count was both
a Civil and Military officer—Grafio and Comes were synonymous titles of the Count among the Franks—The Deputy of the Count was styled Tunginus, Centenarius, Vicarius, Vicecomes, Advocatus—There were Counts among the Saxons, Bavarians, Burgundians, West Goths; and Anglo-Saxons—The Lombardic Count was called Judex, Comes, Dux: his county, Judiciarum; and his subjects, Pagenses—The Sculdasii and Decani were the deputies of the Lombardic Counts—After the Frank Conquest, the Frank titles were introduced into Lombardy—The Lombardic Sculdasii seem to have been a general Institution.]

CHAPTER V.

Roman Judicial System, after the German Conquest.

[The Supreme Magistracy only of the Romans was subverted, in the principal German kingdoms—The Germans had no wish to exterminate the Romans—The German Centenarius, or Sculdasius, resembled the Roman Duumvir or Defensor—The German Scabini, and the Roman Decurions, continued long in the cities together. Under the Burgundians. The terms Hospes, Sors, Hospitalitas, refer to the Burgundian partition of the Roman Lands—The Count superseded
the Præses, while the City Decurions were continued. *Under the West Goths.* The West Goths, likewise, took two thirds of the land from the Romans—the Judicial System of the West Gothic Romans is explained in the Breviariun—The Municipal Jurisdiction of the Defensor was higher than under the Emperors—The appellate rights of the West Gothic Romans were preserved—The judicial functions of the Curia and Decurions were unimpaired—The West Gothic Kings attempted to prohibit the use of the Roman Law—*Under the Franks*—The Romans probably retained their lands under the Franks—The municipal Constitutions of the Roman cities were continued—This is particularly proved by four Angers deeds, A. C. 804.—by the different collections of forms—by old tradition, in many of the cities of France—and by the occurrence of Roman Scabini in the Courts—The Municipal Tribunals were under the Counts—The Counts superseded the Præses—The condition of the Roman cities, under the Franks, has been misunderstood, by Dübös, Büat, Moreau; by Mably, Lezardiere, and Montlosier. *Under Odoacer.* Odoacer’s soldiers took one third of the lands in Italy—The whole Roman Judicial System was continued under Odoacer. *Under the East Goths.* The Tertia was either the East Gothic third of the land, or the Provincial Land-tax—The
Roman Public and Municipal Institutions continued under Theodoric. *Under the Greeks in Italy.* The Greek Supremacy in Italy was of short duration: and produced no change on the Civil or Military Jurisdictions—The continuance of the Municipal Institutions is proved by Ravenna Deeds, A. C. 489, 491, 504, 540, 553, 557, 564, 572, 575, 552–575, 591, 616, 625.—The Duumvirs are now styled Magistratus: Exceptor, Notarius and Tabellio, bear their original meaning—The Pater Civitatis is probably the old Curator, or Quinquennial—The Epistles of Gregory the Great prove the continuance of the Municipal Institutions—Their preservation, even after the overturn of the Greek power, is also certain. *Under the Popes and Emperors, in Ravenna and Rome.* The Pope acquires temporal dominion; and receives from Pepin Ravenna and the Exarchate, including Pentapolis; where his authority, although at first independent, was subjected to that of the Emperors—Charlemagne, and not Pepin, bestowed civil power on the Pope, in Rome—The same judicial system prevailed in Ravenna, Rome and Naples—(A) Tabellio, Exceptor, &c. still occur—(B). The Curia in Ravenna and the Senate in Rome were likewise continued—The term Consul denoted a Decurion, and afterwards a Supreme City Magistrate—The Pater Civitatis was the old Curator, or
Quinquennial—The Judex, or Dativus, was the ordinary municipal Judge—The Dativus or Præfectus was appointed by the Emperor and the Pope—The Dativi, between A. C. 625 and 751, superseded the old Magistratus elected by the citizens—The now much subdivided powers of the Duces were of the same military character as formerly—The Magister Militum had no local command—The Tribuni also were military officers—Municipal freedom had declined—The Statutes of Tivoli describe its Constitution—The German settlers lived under their own Laws. Under the Lombards. The Roman influence survived the Lombardic Conquest—The Lombards took a third of the produce of the Roman Estates—Lupi, Fumagalli, Spittler and Sismondi, mistake the condition of the Romans—Sigonius, Maffei, Muratori, Lupi, Fumagalli, Sismondi and Spittler err, in maintaining the overturn of municipal freedom—No material change took place, under Otto I.—The example of the East Goths led to the preservation of the Roman Civic Institutions: which are also proved to have continued, by the resemblance between them and the new Republics—By the preservation of Roman Law—By the Epistles of Gregory the Great, A. C. 590—604—By other authorities, A. C. 721 —1089—And by the Codex Utinensis, which was compiled in Lombardic Italy about
A. C. 900—From the Breviaryum, and contains important information, regarding the Public Institutions of the Lombardic Romans—The Municipal Jurisdiction and Judices—The Decurions—The Court of the Defendant—The Judices Publici or Fiscales and Privati or Mediocrates; with the difference between their Jurisdictions—The right of Appeals—The Ecclesiastical Courts—The privilege of Minors—The Municipal Gesta—The Decurions and Boni Homines are frequently mentioned together; but the Boni Homines are the Decurions; and the Curiales, the Collectors of the Revenue—The Decurions were Judicators in the city Courts—The Municipal Constitutions still continued Aristocratic—The term Plebs has an Ecclesiastical signification—The Germans universally respected the independence of the cities.]

CHAPTER VI.

State of Law—Education, during the early part of the middle ages.

[...Rome was the proper seat of Law-education in Ulpian’s time, + A. C. 228—and, likewise, in Justinian’s reign, along with Constantinople and Berytus; but its Law-school became of less importance by the dismemberment of the Provinces—Law was still taught in the ordinary schools throughout the mid-
dle ages: although only generally, as a branch of liberal education—"Legis Doctor," &c. are no proofs of the existence of Law-schools either in France or in Italy—Judex has various significations—All these expressions changed their meaning, after the School of Bologna—The School of Law at Rome perhaps continued in A. C. 964—The Decurions and Scabini connected the study of the Law with its practice—The Notaries also kept alive a knowledge of the law.]