# Law and Economics of Crime (LAW940-001-08C): Course Evaluation Report

Course LAW940-001-08C

Title Law and Economics of Crime

Instructors Klick Evaluations 8/12

# **Standard Questions Report**

Students rated the Instructor and Course on the following questions. "5" is the highest ranking and "1" is the lowest ranking. Note: Totals that do not equal 100% are due to rounding.

Cho	ice Questions	Statistic	1	2	3	4	5	Totals
1.	Organization of the course	Percent Responses Total Response (% of Enrollment)	0% 0	0% 0	14% 1	14% 1	71% 5	100% 7 58%
2.	Workload: 1 - too light 3 - appropriate 5 - too heavy	Percent Responses Total Response (% of Enrollment)	0% 0	0% 0	100% 7	0% 0	0% 0	100% 7 58%
3.	Satisfaction with course materials	Percent Responses Total Response (% of Enrollment)	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	57% 4	43% 3	100% 7 58%
4.	Clarity and effectiveness of presentation	Percent Responses Total Response (% of Enrollment)	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	29% 2	71% 5	100% 7 58%
5.	Instructor's knowledge of the subject matter and identification of underlying principles and issues	Percent Responses Total Response (% of Enrollment)	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	100% 7	100% 7 58%
6.	Would you recommend that other students take this course?  1 - Would not recommend 3 - Would recommend 5 - Highly recommend	Percent Responses Total Response (% of Enrollment)	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	14% 1	86% 6	100% 7 58%
7.	Overall effectiveness of instructor	Percent Responses Total Response (% of Enrollment)	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	14% 1	86% 6	100% 7 58%
8.	Overall effectiveness of course	Percent Responses Total Response (% of Enrollment)	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	14% 1	86% 6	100% 7 58%

# **Essay Questions**

- Is there any special preparation or background necessary to take this course?
  - Some light econ or statistical background is useful but not vital
  - No Answer
  - No Answer
  - No. don't be fooled by the reading, but don't need to understand econ or regressions etc.
  - No Answer
  - No Answer
  - Background in economics helps.
  - No Answer
- 10. Comment on the instructor's

#### stimulation of interest in the subject matter and independent thought.

- He was able to make complicated material more understandable. Kudos!
- Klick was always interested in what he was talking about. he let us pick the subjects for the second half of the semester. his thought process is different and interesting. makes you think. he's very honest with his opinions. so refreshing
- No Answer
- Professor Klick was very knowledgeable about each topic presented. He always responded to questions with a comparison between what one might think versus what research shows. That was very effective.
- No Answer
- No Answer
- No Answer
- Professor is unbelievably knowledgeable in the subject matter and was able to answer all questions, even beyond what we read.

#### Comment on the instructor with respect to attitude toward students and accessibility outside of class.

- No Answer
- No Answer
- Such a nice guy. I really applaud the University for the decision to hire this professor after he visited. Good job!
- No Answer
- Professor Klick is an excellent professor with a great ability to communicate with students while also having a
  great sense of humor.
- very very accessible and very approachable
- No Answer
- Professor was always available to answer questions and give feedback of papers. I could always count on hearing back from him promptly (even when I emailed him late at night).

# 12. Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

- No Answer
- No Answer
- the course was very well done, especially in terms of assigned readings and opportunities to study more on each area of the law.
- focus was on the thought process, and not about nit-picking about subject matter.
- No Answer
- It might help with the ease of class discussion if the professor assigned two required readings and made one half of the class "on call" for one reading and the other half of the class "on call" for the other reading. With the discussion of each reading he could have half the class present their intuitions on the subject matter and the "on call" half could present the research findings on the subject matter. I hope that makes sense. The papers could still be based on the optional readings. This might help to stimulate more class participation.
- No Answer
- No Answer

### 13. Other comments:

- No Answer
- Great professor, great class.
- No Answer
- No Answer
- i LOVE klick
- No Answer
- No Answer
- No Answer

Perc	centage Questions	Mean Median		Std. Dev.	
14.	Please note the approximate percentage of classes that you attended.	89	90	7	
15.	Please note the approximate percentage of classes for which you had read the assigned	85	85	10	

# materials in advance.

LAW940-001-08C				Seminar				Seminar Weighted By Course			
	Mean	Median	Std. Dev.		Mean	Median	Std. Dev.		Mean	Std. Dev.	
1.	4.6	5.0	0.8	1.	4.3	5.0	0.9	1.	4.5	0.7	
2.	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.	3.4	3.0	0.7	2.	3.4	0.5	
3.	4.4	4.0	0.5	3.	4.1	4.0	1.0	3.	4.3	0.7	
4.	4.7	5.0	0.5	4.	4.3	5.0	0.9	4.	4.4	0.6	
5.	5.0	5.0	0.0	5.	4.7	5.0	0.6	5.	4.8	0.3	
6.	4.9	5.0	0.4	6.	4.2	5.0	1.0	6.	4.4	0.8	
7.	4.9	5.0	0.4	7.	4.4	5.0	0.9	7.	4.5	0.6	
8.	4.9	5.0	0.4	8.	4.3	5.0	0.9	8.	4.4	0.7	
14.	89.3	90.0	6.7	14.	97.5	100.0	4.4	14.	97.7	3.0	
15.	85.0	85.0	9.6	15.	92.2	100.0	13.9	15.	93.3	9.1	

Copyright © 2009 University of Pennsylvania Law School  $\cdot$  3400 Chestnut Street  $\cdot$  Philadelphia, PA 19104 (hearing.law.upenn.edu)