

Assessment Services - Florida State University - Semester/Year: Fall 2004

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: JONATHAN KLUICK
 Department: LAW
 Course: LAW6060 Section#: 02 Ref#: 08871
 Forms Ordered: 127 Responses: 106 (83%)
 Procedural Discrepancies:

SA = Strongly Agree	= 1	Response Scale	E = Excellent	= 1
A = Agree	= 2		VG = Very Good	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3		G = Good	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4		F = Fair	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5		P = Poor	= 5

Section A Demographics

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	0 0%	3 3%	2 2%	0 0%	98 95%
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	1.99 or less 0 0%	2.0 - 2.49 0 0%	2.5 - 2.99 15 19%	3.0 - 3.49 52 64%	3.5 - 4.0 13 16%
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	A 18 20%	B 55 62%	C 13 15%	D 1 1%	F 1 1%
4. Is this a required course for you?	yes 3 3%	no 96 97%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	24 23%	59 56%	16 15%	6 6%	1 1%	106	2	0
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	33 31%	47 44%	20 19%	5 5%	1 1%	106	2	1
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	28 26%	47 44%	25 24%	5 5%	1 1%	106	2	2
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	36 34%	49 46%	13 12%	7 7%	1 1%	106	2	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	40 38%	43 41%	16 15%	6 6%	1 1%	106	2	1
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	52 50%	41 39%	10 10%	1 1%	1 1%	105	2	1
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	52 50%	38 36%	12 11%	2 2%	1 1%	105	2	1
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	41 39%	46 43%	13 12%	6 6%	0 0%	106	2	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	47 44%	43 41%	10 9%	5 5%	1 1%	106	2	1

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)

10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.

11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.

12. The instructor treated students with respect.

13. Students were able to get individual help.

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
	19	25	49	5	0	98	3	1
	19%	26%	50%	5%	0%			
	14	12	67	1	0	94	3	1
	15%	13%	71%	1%	0%			
	53	42	7	1	1	104	1	1
	51%	40%	7%	1%	1%			
	38	33	32	0	0	103	2	2
	37%	32%	31%	0%	0%			

Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment

1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.

2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.

3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.

4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was

5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
	39	44	17	4	2	106	2	1
	37%	42%	16%	4%	2%			
	52	38	9	3	4	106	2	1
	49%	36%	8%	3%	4%			
	50	32	11	6	5	104	2	1
	48%	31%	11%	6%	5%			
	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
	21	43	30	9	2	105	2	1
	20%	41%	29%	9%	2%			
	34	38	25	6	2	105	2	2
	32%	36%	24%	6%	2%			

Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)

1. Description of course objectives and assignments

2. Communication of ideas and information

3. Expression of expectations for performance in class

4. Availability to assist students in or out of class

5. Respect and concern for students

6. Stimulation of interest in the course.

7. Facilitation of learning.

8. Overall assessment of instructor.

	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
	32	34	24	9	1	100	2	2
	32%	34%	24%	9%	1%			
	40	32	19	7	2	100	2	2
	40%	32%	19%	7%	2%			
	30	34	26	7	2	99	2	2
	30%	34%	26%	7%	2%			
	37	24	31	3	1	96	2	2
	39%	25%	32%	3%	1%			
	44	34	18	1	2	99	2	1
	44%	34%	18%	1%	2%			
	36	33	21	7	2	99	2	2
	36%	33%	21%	7%	2%			
	34	35	23	5	2	99	2	2
	34%	35%	23%	5%	2%			
	41	30	20	7	2	100	2	2
	41%	30%	20%	7%	2%			